UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,524	09/08/2003	Daryl S. Meredith	TN-3481	9167
Adan Ayala, Es	7590 07/01/200	EXAMINER		
Black & Decke		DEXTER, CLARK F		
TW-199 701 E. Joppa R	oad		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Towson, MD 2			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/65	7,524	MEREDITH, DARYL S.		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		Clark I	E. Dexter	3724		
TI Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (i - If NO perio - Failure to i Any reply i	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provision. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com od for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ Thi 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) files action is FINAL . ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal ma		ne merits is	
Disposition ·	of Claims					
4a) 5)	im(s) 1-9,17 and 18 is/are pendi Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 1,6-9,17 and 18 is/are reje im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restri Papers specification is objected to by the	withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
10)☐ The App Rep	drawing(s) filed on is/are licant may not request that any objected to by the drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected to by the drawing sheet(s) including the d	:: a) accepted o ection to the drawing(g the correction is re	(s) be held in abey quired if the drawir	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	, ,	
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 1 Notice of 1 Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on March 31, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The status identifier is inaccurate since the claim is no longer "new".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 6-9, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the last paragraph of claim 1, the recitations in parentheses render the claims vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth, particularly since it is not clear as to whether these recitations are intended to be considered as part of the claimed invention; further, it is not clear as to what structure is being set forth by the last paragraph of claim 1 including references to "a first forwardmost edge of the blade" and "a second forwardmost edge of the blade".

10/657,524 Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 6. Claims 1, 6-9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Publication 0 752 300 (hereafter EP '300).

EP '300 discloses a saw (e.g., see Figs. 1-13 and 21) with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including:

a base (e.g., 12) for supporting a workpiece;

a saw assembly (e.g., see col. 6, line 35 - col. 7, line 1) connected to the base, the saw assembly comprising a pivot arm, an upper blade guard (e.g., 18) connected to the pivot arm, a motor (e.g., 20) attached to the upper blade guard, and a blade (e.g., 16) driven by the motor, the saw assembly being movable between an upper position for not engaging the workpiece, and a lower position for engaging the workpiece (e.g., by the pivot located immediately below the motor 20 as viewed in Figure 1); and

a fence assembly attached to the base and disposed to one side of the blade, the fence assembly comprising

a fixed fence (e.g., 48) fixedly attached to the base and disposed on the one side of the blade,

Application/Control Number:

10/657,524 Art Unit: 3724

a movable fence (e.g., 32) slidably connected to and removably connected to the fixed fence (e.g., as shown in Figure 5) and disposed on the one side of the blade, the movable fence being linearly movable horizontally relative to the fixed fence, the movable fence defining a first support plane, at least one of the fixed fence and the movable fence for contacting the workpiece, and

an auxiliary fence (e.g., the upper, reduced cross-section portion of component 40) disposed on the one side of the blade behind at least one of the fixed fence and the movable fence, the auxiliary fence being higher than the highest point on the fixed fence and defining a second support plane substantially parallel to the first support plane (e.g., as shown in Fig. 4), so that when the movable fence (e.g., 32) is removed, no portion of the fence assembly is above the fixed fence (e.g., 48) and in front of the second support plane, allowing the auxiliary fence to contact the workpiece (e.g., the auxiliary fence 40 is fully capable of contacting a workpiece when the movable fence 32 is removed);

wherein a first line extending from the auxiliary fence and substantially perpendicular to the second support plane contacts the movable fence (e.g., 32) at a contact point, and a second line extending substantially parallel to the second support plane (e.g., a vertically-extending second line extending parallel to the right side of the reduced cross-section portion of 40 as viewed in Fig. 4) from the fixed fence (e.g., 48) will intersect the first line.

wherein the blade fully cuts a workpiece completely disposed within a first distance between the auxiliary fence and a first forwardmost edge of the blade (along a substantially horizontal direction), the first distance being longer than a second distance

Application/Control Number:

10/657,524 Art Unit: 3724

between the fixed fence and a second forwardmost edge of the blade (along the substantially horizontal direction) (e.g., as best understood, it appears that the saw disclosed in EP '300 meets the limitations set forth in this last paragraph such as when considered in terms of the workpiece disclosed therein).

It is noted that various types of workpieces will meet this claim; for example, an irregular shaped workpiece, a stepped workpiece, a generally L-shaped workpiece or the like, that includes a portion that rests on the workpiece support surface and another portion that extends to contact portion 40. It is emphasized that all of the above-described manipulations, including selection of workpiece configurations, can be performed without modifying the prior art device as disclosed in the prior art document, and thus are considered to be functional recitations of intended use of the prior art device.

Regarding claims 6-9, EP '300 discloses the saw with every structural limitation of the claimed invention as further defined including

[claim 6] wherein the fixed fence has a support surface (e.g., at 107);

[claim 7 (from 6)] wherein the support surface is substantially parallel to an upper surface of the base;

[claim 8 (from 6)] wherein the support surface is substantially perpendicular to the first support plane;

[claim 9] wherein the fixed fence has a surface (e.g., 63) which is substantially coplanar with the first support plane;

[claim 18] wherein the first and second lines intersect at the contact point.

Application/Control Number:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Publication 0 752 300 (hereafter EP '300).

EP '300 discloses a saw with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but including first and second support planes as described above, but lacks the specific distance between these support planes being 1.65 inches. However, to make the distance 1.65 inches would be the mere discovery of the optimum or workable ranges within the general conditions of the prior art by routine experimentation and therefore obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. It is emphasized that the saw of EP '300 could clearly be constructed of a size or scale wherein such a distance is provided given what is taught by the disclosure of EP '300.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed March 21, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the fifth paragraph on page 5 of the subject amendment, applicant raises the question as to which portion is element 40 is considered to be the auxiliary fence. The

Examiner respectfully submits that the claim should be given its broadest reasonable interpretation and that any and all interpretations should be considered.

In the last paragraph on page 5 and the first paragraph on page 6, applicant argues that the apparatus of EP '300 does not meet the claimed invention and provides reasons therefor. However, it is respectfully submitted that applicant's arguments are not persuasive for at least the following reasons.

First, it is respectfully submitted that it is not at all clear as to what structure is being claimed, for at least the reasons described for the rejections under 35 USC 112 above.

Second, it appears that applicant is attempting to positively define the invention in terms of the workpiece, wherein the workpiece is not part of the claimed invention, which leads to confusion as to what is intended to be considered as the claimed invention.

Third, applicant appears to be interpreting the claim as requiring the workpiece to extend from the auxiliary fence to at least one of the forwardmost edges of the blade (which again is unclear as to what this pertains). However, the claim only requires that the workpiece is disposed within the claimed boundaries, and EP '300 appears to be fully capable of meeting such a limitation/condition.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3724

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/657,524

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd June 27, 2008